

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/310,25	2 02/13/89	QUEEN	С	118239

WILLIAM M. SMITH TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND STEUART STREET TOWER ONE MARKET PLAZA SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

EXA	MINER
MARKS+M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
185	2

11/07/89

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.  Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  L Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.  3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152  5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION
1. $\times$ Claims are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims have been cancelled.
3. Claims are allowed.
4. Claims are rejected.
5. Claims are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation).
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received.
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal-matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. \_\_\_ Other

Serial No. 07/310252 Art Unit 185

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 8-11, and 17-23, drawn to a method of designing a humanized immunoglobulin chain, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.
- II. Claims 7 and 12-15, drawn to an immunoglobulin comprising two light/heavy chain pairs, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.
- III. Claims 16 and 24-28, drawn to a DNA sequence encoding a humanized immunoglobulin chain and a method of recombinantly producing a humanized Ig continuing a heavy and light chain, classified in Class 435, subclass 68 and 70 and 172.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group III and each of Groups I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful other than to make the final product (MPEP section 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP section 806.04(h)).

In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a diagnostic probe or as an intermediate in each of the other Groups (either I or II) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Serial No. 07/310252 Art Unit 185

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Inventions Group I or III and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as either chemical synthesis or design based on that taught by Morrison or Oi.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the searches for the individual Groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

A telephone call was made to William M. Smith on October 25, 1989 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Serial No. 07/310252 Art Unit 185

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michelle Marks, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-557-0664.

Mom